

ON THE C^* -ALGEBRA OF A LOCALLY INJECTIVE SURJECTION AND ITS KMS STATES

KLAUS THOMSEN

1. INTRODUCTION

In [Th] the construction of a C^* -algebra from an étale groupoid, as introduced by J. Renault in [Re1], was generalized to a larger class of locally compact groupoids called semi-étale groupoids, where the range and source maps are locally injective, but not necessarily open. The main purpose with the generalization was to make the powerful techniques for étale groupoids available to the study of dynamical systems via the groupoid constructed in increasing generality by Renault, Deaconu and Anantharaman-Delaroche, [Re1], [D], [A], also when the underlying map is not open. In particular, as shown in [Th] this makes it possible to handle general (one-sided) subshifts.

One of the intriguing connections between dynamical systems and C^* -algebras is the relation between the thermodynamical formalism of Ruelle, as described in [Ru], and quantum statistical mechanics, as described in [BR]. One relation between these formalisms is very concrete and direct and manifests itself in almost all of the C^* -algebraic settings of quantum statistical mechanics through a bijective correspondance between KMS states and measures fixed by a dual Ruelle operator. This relation is implicit in the work of J. Renault, [Re1] and [Re2], and has been developed further by R. Exel, [E]. By using this correspondance Kumjian and Renault, [KR], were able to use Walters results, [W2], on the convergence of the Ruelle operator to extend most results on the existence and uniqueness of KMS states for the generalized gauge actions on Cuntz-Krieger algebras which has been one of the favourite models in quantum statistical mechanics.

The main purpose with the present work is to show that there is a canonical way to pass from a locally injective continuous surjection to a local homeomorphism in such a way that the C^* -algebras of the corresponding groupoids, one of them defined as in [Th], are isomorphic. The construction is a generalization of W. Kriegers construction of a canonical extension for a sofic shift, [Kr1], [Kr2], now known as the left Krieger cover. The canonical local homeomorphic extension of a general locally injective surjection which we construct is undoubtedly useful for other purposes, and it seems to deserve a more thorough investigation. Here we use it to investigate the KMS states of the generalized gauge actions. In fact, we restrict our considerations even further by focusing only on the possible values of the inverse temperature β for such KMS states. The results we obtain give bounds on the possible β -values and ensure the existence of KMS states under mild conditions on the potential function. We depart from the work of Exel in [E] and the main tool to prove existence of KMS states is a method developed by Matsumoto, Watatani and Yoshida in [MWY] and Pinzari, Watatani and Yonetani in [PWY]. Concerning bounds on the

possible β -values of KMS states the main novelty is the observation that it is not so much the entropy of the map which provides the bounds but rather the exponential growth rate of the number of pre-images. The relevant entity is thus an invariant h_m which was introduced by Hurley in [Hu] and studied further in [FFN], among others. For forward expansive maps the invariant of Hurley is equal to the topological entropy, but in general it is smaller than the topological entropy. The invariant of Hurley controls the existence of KMS states completely when the potential function is strictly positive or strictly negative: For such potential functions there is a KMS-state if and only if h_m is not zero. We refer to Section 6 for more details on our results on KMS states.

2. RECAP ABOUT $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ a continuous map. We assume that φ is locally injective, meaning that there is a basis for the topology of X consisting of sets on which φ is injective. Set

$$\Gamma_\varphi = \{(x, k, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X : \exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}, k = a - b, \varphi^a(x) = \varphi^b(y)\}.$$

This is a groupoid with the set of composable pairs being

$$\Gamma_\varphi^{(2)} = \{((x, k, y), (x', k', y')) \in \Gamma_\varphi \times \Gamma_\varphi : y = x'\}.$$

The multiplication and inversion are given by

$$(x, k, y)(y, k', y') = (x, k + k', y') \text{ and } (x, k, y)^{-1} = (y, -k, x).$$

To turn Γ_φ into a locally compact topological groupoid, fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n + k \geq 0$, set

$$\Gamma_\varphi(k, n) = \{(x, l, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X : l = k, \varphi^{k+i}(x) = \varphi^i(y), i \geq n\}.$$

This is a closed subset of the topological product $X \times \mathbb{Z} \times X$ and hence a locally compact Hausdorff space in the relative topology. Since φ is locally injective $\Gamma_\varphi(k, n)$ is an open subset of $\Gamma_\varphi(k, n + 1)$ and hence the union

$$\Gamma_\varphi(k) = \bigcup_{n \geq -k} \Gamma_\varphi(k, n)$$

is a locally compact Hausdorff space in the inductive limit topology. The disjoint union

$$\Gamma_\varphi = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma_\varphi(k)$$

is then a locally compact Hausdorff space in the topology where each $\Gamma_\varphi(k)$ is an open and closed set. In fact, as is easily verified, Γ_φ is a locally compact groupoid in the sense of [Re1]. Note that the unit space Γ_φ^0 of Γ_φ equals X via the identification $x \mapsto (x, 0, x)$. The local injectivity of φ ensures that the range map $r(x, k, y) = x$ is locally injective, i.e. Γ_φ is semi étale. We can therefore define the corresponding C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ as in [Th]. Briefly $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ is the completion of the $*$ -algebra $\text{alg}^* \Gamma_\varphi$ generated by the continuous and compactly supported function on Γ_φ under the convolution product

$$f \star g(x, k, y) = \sum_{z, m+n=k} f(x, n, z)g(z, m, y),$$

and the involution

$$f^*(x, k, y) = \overline{f(y, -k, x)}.$$

The elements of $\text{alg}^* \Gamma_\varphi$ are all bounded and of compact support, but not necessarily continuous. The elements of $\text{alg}^* \Gamma_\varphi$ whose supports are contained in the unit space, identified with X as it is, generate under the completion an abelian C^* -algebra D_{Γ_φ} which contains $C_0(X)$ and consists of bounded functions vanishing at infinity. The restriction map extends to a conditional expectation $P_{\Gamma_\varphi} : C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi) \rightarrow D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$.

Let us now restrict the attention to the case where X is compact and metrizable. One of the results from [Th] is that $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ can then be realized as a crossed $C_r^*(R_\varphi) \rtimes_{\widehat{\varphi}} \mathbb{N}$ in the sense of Paschke, where $C_r^*(R_\varphi)$ is the C^* -subalgebra of $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ generated by $C_c(\Gamma_\varphi(0))$ and $\widehat{\varphi}$ is the endomorphism of $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ given by conjugation with the isometry V_φ , where

$$V_\varphi(x, k, y) = \begin{cases} m(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{when } k = 1 \text{ and } y = \varphi(x) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The function $m : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which enters here is also going to play an important role in the present paper and it is equal to $m = N \circ \varphi$, with

$$N(x) = \#\varphi^{-1}(x).$$

While this crossed product description is useful for several purposes, including the calculation of the K -theory groups of $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$, it is going to be instrumental here to relate to a crossed product description in the sense of Exel, [E].

3. $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ AS A CROSSED PRODUCT IN THE SENSE OF EXEL

Let $f \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. Then $P_{\Gamma_\varphi}(V_\varphi f V_\varphi^*)(x) = m(x)^{-1} f(\varphi(x))$. Since $m \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ this shows that $f \circ \varphi \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. We can therefore define a $*$ -endomorphism α_φ of D_{Γ_φ} such that

$$\alpha_\varphi(f) = f \circ \varphi. \quad (3.1)$$

Note that α_φ is unital, and injective since φ is surjective. Let $f \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$, and let $1_{\Gamma_\varphi(1,0)}$ be the characteristic function of the open and compact subset $\Gamma_\varphi(1,0)$ of Γ_φ . Then $1_{\Gamma_\varphi(1,0)}^* f 1_{\Gamma_\varphi(1,0)} \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ and

$$1_{\Gamma_\varphi(1,0)}^* f 1_{\Gamma_\varphi(1,0)}(x) = \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} f(z). \quad (3.2)$$

Hence the function $X \ni x \mapsto \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} f(z)$ is in D_{Γ_φ} . In particular, the function

$$N(x) = \#\varphi^{-1}(x) = \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} 1$$

is in D_{Γ_φ} . This allows us to define $\mathcal{L}_\varphi : D_{\Gamma_\varphi} \rightarrow D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi(f)(x) = N(x)^{-1} \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} f(z).$$

$\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is a unital positive linear map and $\mathcal{L}_\varphi(f \alpha_\varphi(g)) = \mathcal{L}_\varphi(f)g$ for all $f, g \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. Hence $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is a transfer operator in the sense of Exel, cf. [E] and [EV], so that the crossed product

$$D_{\Gamma_\varphi} \rtimes_{\alpha_\varphi, \mathcal{L}_\varphi} \mathbb{N}$$

is defined. Observe that $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is faithful and that the Standing Hypotheses of [EV], Hypotheses 3.1, are all satisfied.

The following result generalizes Theorem 9.2 in [EV], and to some extent also Theorem 4.18 of [Th].

Theorem 3.1. *There is a $*$ -isomorphism $D_{\Gamma_\varphi} \rtimes_{\alpha_\varphi, \mathcal{L}_\varphi} \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ which is the identity on D_{Γ_φ} and takes the isometry S of Exel (cf. [E]) to the isometry $V_\varphi \in C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$.*

Proof. Since φ is locally injective there is a partition of unity $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^k$ in $C(X) \subseteq D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ such that φ is injective on $\text{supp } b_i$ for each i . It is then straightforward to check that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha_\varphi \circ \mathcal{L}_\varphi \left((b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} f \right)$$

for all $f \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$, so that $\left\{ (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}_{i=1}^k$ is a quasi-basis for the conditional expectation $\alpha_\varphi \circ \mathcal{L}_\varphi$ of D_{Γ_φ} onto $\alpha_\varphi(D_{\Gamma_\varphi})$ in the sense of [EV]. It is also straightforward to check that $V_\varphi f = \alpha_\varphi(f) V_\varphi$ and $V_\varphi^* f V_\varphi = \mathcal{L}_\varphi(f)$ for all $f \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. Furthermore,

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\varphi V_\varphi^* (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It follows therefore from Corollary 7.2 of [EV] that there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\rho : D_{\Gamma_\varphi} \rtimes_{\alpha_\varphi, \mathcal{L}_\varphi} \mathbb{N} \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ which is the identity on D_{Γ_φ} and takes the isometry S to the isometry $V_\varphi \in C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$. To see that ρ is surjective we must show that $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ is generated by D_{Γ_φ} and V_φ . From the expression for $V_\varphi^n (V_\varphi^*)^n$ given in the proof of Theorem 4.8 of [Th], combined with Corollary 4.5 from [Th], it follows that the C^* -algebra generated by V_φ and D_{Γ_φ} contains the characteristic function $1_{R(\varphi^n)}$ for each n . It follows then that it contains

$$C(X) \star 1_{R(\varphi^n)} \star C(X) \tag{3.3}$$

since $C(X) \subseteq D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. Among the functions in (3.3) are the elements of $C(R(\varphi^n))$ which are restrictions to $R(\varphi^n)$ of product type functions, $X \times X \ni (x, y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$, with $f, g \in C(X)$. These functions generate $C(X \times X)$ and their restriction generate $C(R(\varphi^n))$ so it follows that the C^* -algebra generated by V_φ and D_{Γ_φ} contains $C(R(\varphi^n))$ for each n . Since

$$C_r^*(R_\varphi) = \overline{\bigcup_n C(R(\varphi^n))}$$

we conclude from Theorem 4.6 of [Th] that it coincides with $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$, proving that ρ is surjective. Finally, it follows from Theorem 4.2 of [EV] that ρ is injective since the gauge action on $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ can serve as the required $\mathbb{T}$ -action. $\square$

4. A CANONICAL LOCAL HOMEOMORPHISM EXTENDING (X, φ)

In this section we show that the continuous map ψ from the Gelfand spectrum of D_{Γ_φ} to itself which corresponds to the endomorphism (3.1) of D_{Γ_φ} is a local homeomorphism and that the corresponding dynamical system is a canonical extension of (X, φ) . The proof is based on the well-known contravariant equivalence between compact Hausdorff spaces and unital abelian C^* -algebras.

To simplify notation, set $D = D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ and let $\widehat{D}$ be the Gelfand spectrum of D_{Γ_φ} . Recall that $\widehat{D}$ consists of the unital $*$ -homomorphisms $c : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, also known as the *characters* of D . $\widehat{D}$ is closed in the weak*-topology of the unit ball in the dual space D^* of D and obtains in this way a compact topology. Since X is compact and metrizable it follows that D is separable and it follows that also $\widehat{D}$ is metrizable. Finally, recall that every element $d \in D$ becomes a continuous function on $\widehat{D}$ in the natural way; viz. $d(c) = c(d)$, and this recipe gives rise to an (isometric) $*$ -isomorphism between D and $C(\widehat{D})$ which we suppress in the notation by simply identifying D and $C(\widehat{D})$ whenever it is convenient.

There is a map $\pi : \widehat{D} \rightarrow X$ arising from the fact that every character of $C(X)$ comes from evaluation at point in X : Given a character $c \in \widehat{D}$ of D there is a unique point $\pi(c) \in X$ such that $c(f) = f(\pi(c))$ for all $f \in C(X)$. Note that π is continuous. We define $\psi : \widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ such that $\psi(c)(g) = c(g \circ \varphi)$ for all $g \in D$. It follows straightforwardly from the definition of the topology of $\widehat{D}$ that ψ is continuous. Hence $(\widehat{D}, \psi)$ is a dynamical system. Note that

$$f((\varphi \circ \pi)(c)) = f \circ \varphi(\pi(c)) = c(f \circ \varphi) = \psi(c)(f) = f(\pi \circ \psi(c))$$

for all $f \in C(X)$, proving that $\pi : (X, \varphi) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}, \psi)$ is equivariant. Define $\iota : X \rightarrow \widehat{D}$ by $\iota(x) = c_x \in \widehat{D}$ where c_x is the character defined such that $c_x(g) = g(x)$ for all $g \in D$. Since $g(\psi \circ \iota(x)) = c_x(g \circ \varphi) = g(\varphi(x)) = c_{\varphi(x)}(g)$ we see that also $\iota : (X, \varphi) \rightarrow (\widehat{D}, \psi)$ is equivariant. Furthermore $\pi \circ \iota(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$, proving that ι is injective and π surjective. Note, however, that ι is generally not continuous. Since $g \in D, c_x(g) = 0 \ \forall x \in X \Rightarrow g = 0$, the range $\iota(X)$ of ι is dense in $\widehat{D}$.

It is evident that the construction of $(\widehat{D}, \psi)$ is canonical in the following sense: If $\varphi' : X' \rightarrow X'$ is another locally injective surjection of a compact Hausdorff space X' , then a conjugacy from (X, φ) to (X', φ') induces a conjugacy from $(\widehat{D}, \psi)$ to $(\widehat{D}', \psi')$ which extends the given conjugacy in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{D} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{D}' \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ X & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

commutes.

It remains now only to establish the following

Proposition 4.1. *ψ is a surjective local homeomorphism.*

Proof. ψ is locally injective: Let $c \in \widehat{D}$ and set $z = \pi(\psi(c)) = \varphi(\pi(c))$. By Lemma 3.6 of [Th] there is an open neighborhood U of z and open sets $V_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, j$, where $j = \#\varphi^{-1}(z)$, such that

- 1) $\varphi^{-1}(\overline{U}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_j$,
- 2) $\overline{V_i} \cap \overline{V_{i'}} = \emptyset$ when $i \neq i'$, and
- 3) φ is injective on $\overline{V_i}$ for each i .

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\pi(c) \in V_1$. Let $h, H \in C(X)$ be such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$, $h(\pi(c)) = 1$, $\varphi(\text{supp } h) \subseteq U$, $Hh = h$ and $\text{supp } H \subseteq \overline{V_1}$. Set

$$W = \{c' \in \widehat{D} : c'(h) > 0\};$$

clearly an open subset of $\widehat{D}$. To show that $c \in W$ we choose a sequence $\{z_k\}$ in X such that $\lim_k \iota(z_k) = c$. Then $\pi(c) = \lim_k \pi \circ \iota(z_k) = \lim_k z_k$ so that

$$c(h) = \lim_k \iota(z_k)(h) = \lim_k h(z_k) = h(\pi(c)) = 1.$$

W is therefore an open neighborhood of c in $\widehat{D}$. To show that ψ is injective on W , let $c', c'' \in W$ and choose sequences $\{z'_k\}$ and $\{z''_k\}$ in X such that $\lim_k \iota(z'_k) = c'$ and $\lim_k \iota(z''_k) = c''$. Since

$$\lim_k h(z'_k) = \lim_k \iota(z'_k)(h) = c'(h) > 0,$$

it follows that $h(z'_k) > 0$ for all large k . Hence $\varphi(z'_k) \in U$, $H(z'_k) = 1$ and $z'_k \in \overline{V_1}$ for all large k . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(c') \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} fH(v) \right) &= \lim_k \iota \circ \varphi(z'_k) \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} fH(v) \right) \\ &= \lim_k \sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(z'_k))} fH(v) = \lim_k f(z'_k) = c'(f) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in D$. Similarly,

$$\psi(c'') \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} fH(v) \right) = c''(f)$$

for all $f \in D$. It follows that $\psi(c') = \psi(c'') \Rightarrow c' = c''$, proving that ψ is injective on W .

ψ is open: Let $f \in D$ be a non-negative function and set

$$V = \left\{ c \in \widehat{D} : c(f) > 0 \right\}.$$

It suffices to show that $\psi(V)$ is open in $\widehat{D}$, so we consider an element $c \in V$, and set

$$W = \left\{ c' \in \widehat{D} : c' \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(v) \right) > \frac{c(f)}{2} \right\}.$$

Let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_k \iota(z_k) = c$ and note that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(c) \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(v) \right) &= \lim_k \iota(\varphi(z_k)) \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(v) \right) \\ &= \lim_k \sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(z_k))} f(v) \geq \lim_k f(z_k) = \lim_k \iota(z_k)(f) = c(f) > \frac{c(f)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that W is an open neighborhood of $\psi(c)$. It suffices therefore to show that $W \subseteq \psi(V)$. Let $c' \in W$ and choose a sequence $\{z'_k\}$ in X such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \iota(z'_k) = c'$ in $\widehat{D}$. For all large k ,

$$\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(z'_k)} f(v) = \iota(z'_k) \left(\sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f \right) > \frac{c(f)}{2},$$

so for all large k there are elements $v_k \in \varphi^{-1}(z'_k)$ such that $f(v_k) \geq \frac{c(f)}{2M}$, where $M = \max_{x \in X} \#\varphi^{-1}(x)$. Let c'' be a condensation point in $\widehat{D}$ of the sequence $\{\iota(v_k)\}$. For the corresponding subsequence $\{v_{k_i}\}$ we find that $\psi(c'') = \lim_i \varphi(v_{k_i}) = \lim_i z'_{k_i} = c'$. Since

$$c''(f) = \lim_i f(v_{k_i}) \geq \frac{c(f)}{2M} > 0,$$

it follows that $c'' \in V$, proving that $W \subseteq \psi(V)$.

ψ is surjective: If $\psi(\widehat{D}) \neq \widehat{D}$, there is an element $f \in D$ such that $f \neq 0$ and $f \geq 0$, while $\psi(c)(f) = 0$ for all $c \in \widehat{D}$. Since $\psi(c)(f) = c(f \circ \varphi)$ it follows that $f \circ \varphi = 0$. This is impossible since $f \neq 0$ and φ is surjective. $\square$

The dynamical system $(\widehat{D}, \psi)$ will be called *the canonical local homeomorphic extension* of (X, φ) . It can be shown that $(\widehat{D}, \psi)$ is the left Krieger cover of (X, φ) when (X, φ) is a one-sided sofic shift.

5. ISOMORPHISM OF THE C^* -ALGEBRAS $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ AND $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$

Since ψ is a local homeomorphism the C^* -algebras $C_r^*(R_\psi)$ and $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ coincide with the one considered in [A]. In particular, the abelian C^* -algebra D_{Γ_ψ} is equal to $C(\widehat{D}) = D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. In this section we show that this identification, $D_{\Gamma_\varphi} = D_{\Gamma_\psi}$, is the restriction of an isomorphism between $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ and $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$.

As above we let $N \in D$ be the function $N(x) = \#\varphi^{-1}(x)$, and set $m = N \circ \varphi$.

Lemma 5.1. $c(N) = \#\psi^{-1}(c)$ for all $c \in \widehat{D}$.

Proof. For any $f \in D$, let $I(f)$ denote the function

$$I(f)(x) = \sum_{v \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} f(v).$$

It follows from (3.2) that $I(f) \in D$. Let $c \in \widehat{D}$ and let $\{z_k\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\lim_k \iota(z_k) = c$. Set $z = \pi(c)$, and let be $U, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_j$ as in Lemma 3.6 of [Th], i.e. 1)-3) from the proof of Proposition 4.1 hold. Since $\lim_k N(z_k) = c(N)$ we can assume that $N(z_k) = c(N)$ for all k , and since $\lim_k z_k = \lim_k \pi \circ \iota(z_k) = z$ in X we can assume that $z_k \in U$ for all k . Choose functions $h_i, H_i \in C_c(X), i = 1, 2, \dots, j$, such that $0 \leq h_i \leq 1, h_i(w_i) = 1$, where $w_i = V_i \cap \varphi^{-1}(z), \varphi(\text{supp } h_i) \subseteq U, H_i h_i = h_i$ and $\text{supp } H_i \subseteq \overline{V_i}$ for all i .

Observe that $c(N) \leq j$ and set

$$g_F = \prod_{i \in F} I(h_i) \in D$$

for every subset $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$ with $c(N)$ elements. For all sufficiently large k there is a subset $F \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$ with $c(N)$ elements such that $g_F(z_k) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, since $N(z_k) = c(N)$ there is for each k a subset $F_k \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$ with $c(N)$ elements and elements $v_k^i \in V_i, i \in F_k$, such that $\varphi^{-1}(z_k) = \{v_k^i : i \in F_k\}$. When $g_{F_k}(z_k) < \frac{1}{2}$ there must be at least one $i_k \in F_k$ for which

$$h_{i_k}(v_k^{i_k}) < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{c(N)}}.$$

Hence, if $g_{F_k}(z_k) < \frac{1}{2}$ for infinitely many k , a condensation point of the sequence $\{v_k^{i_k}\}$ would give us, for some $i' \in \{1, 2, \dots, j\}$, a point in $\overline{V_{i'}} \cap \varphi^{-1}(z)$ other than $w_{i'}$, contradicting property 3) of the V_i 's. Hence $g_{F_k}(z_k) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all sufficiently large k . Since there are only finitely many subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, j\}$ we can pass to a subsequence of $\{z_k\}$ to arrange that the same subset F' works for all k , i.e. that

$$g_{F'}(z_k) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad (5.1)$$

for all k . Since $N(z_k) = c(N) = \#F'$ this implies that

$$\varphi^{-1}(z_k) = \{v_k^i : i \in F'\}$$

for some (unique) elements $v_k^i \in V_i, i \in F'$. For each i , let c^i be a condensation point of $\{\iota(v_k^i)\}$ in $\widehat{D}$. Then $\psi(c^i) = \lim_k \psi(\iota(v_k^i)) = \lim_k \iota(z_k) = c$ for all i . Since $c^i(h_{i'}) = \lim_k h_{i'}(v_k^i) \neq 0$ if and only if $i = i'$ for $i, i' \in F'$, we conclude that $c^i \neq c^{i'}$ when $i \neq i'$, proving that $\#\psi^{-1}(c) \geq c(N)$.

As shown in the proof of Proposition 4.1, ψ is injective on

$$W_i = \left\{ c' \in \widehat{D} : c'(h_i) > 0 \right\}.$$

To show that $\#\psi^{-1}(c) \leq N(c)$ it suffices therefore to show that every element c'' of $\psi^{-1}(c)$ is contained in W_i for some $i \in F'$. To this end we pick a sequence $\{y_k\}$ in X such that $\lim_k \iota(y_k) = c''$ in $\widehat{D}$. Set $z'_k = \varphi(y_k)$ and note that $\lim_k \iota(z'_k) = \psi(c'') = c$ while $\lim_k z'_k = \lim_k \pi \circ \psi \circ \iota(y_k) = \lim_k \pi \circ \psi(c'') = z$. In particular,

$$N(z'_k) = c(N) \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$z'_k \in U \quad (5.3)$$

for all sufficiently large k . Furthermore, by using (5.1) we find that

$$\lim_k g_{F'}(z'_k) = c(g_{F'}) = \lim_k g_{F'}(z_k) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (5.4)$$

By combining (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) we find that

$$\varphi^{-1}(z'_k) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in F'} h_i^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty \right] \right)$$

for all large k . Since $y_k \in \varphi^{-1}(z'_k)$, it follows that

$$y_k \in \bigcup_{i \in F'} h_i^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty \right] \right)$$

for all large k . Hence there is an $i' \in F'$ such that $y_k \in h_{i'}^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty \right] \right)$ for infinitely many k which implies that

$$c''(h_{i'}) = \lim_k h_{i'}(y_k) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Hence $c'' \in W_{i'}$. □

Corollary 5.2. $\#\psi^{-1}(\psi(c)) = c(m)$ for all $c \in \widehat{D}$.

Proof. Using Lemma 5.1 for the first equality we find that $\#\psi^{-1}(\psi(c)) = \psi(c)(N) = c(N \circ \varphi) = c(m)$. □

Lemma 5.3. $1_{\Gamma_\psi(1,0)}^* f 1_{\Gamma_\psi(1,0)}(c) = c \left(\sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(z) \right)$ for all $c \in \widehat{D}$ and all $f \in D$.

Proof. Since both sides are continuous in c and $\iota(X)$ is dense in $\widehat{D}$ it suffices to establish the identity when $c = c_x$ for some $x \in X$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that we can apply (3.2) with ψ replacing φ to conclude that

$$1_{\Gamma_\psi(1,0)}^* f 1_{\Gamma_\psi(1,0)}(c_x) = \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-1}(c_x)} c'(f).$$

In comparison we have that

$$c_x \left(\sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(z) \right) = \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} f(z).$$

So it remains only to show that

$$\psi^{-1}(c_x) = \{c_z : z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)\}. \quad (5.5)$$

In fact, since the two sets have the same number of elements by Lemma 5.1, it suffices to check that $\psi(c_z) = c_x$ when $z \in \varphi^{-1}(x)$. This is straightforward: $\psi(c_z)(f) = c_z(f \circ \varphi) = f(\varphi(z)) = f(x) = c_x(f)$ for all $f \in D$. $\square$

Note that (5.5) means that

$$\psi^{-1}(\iota(X)) = \iota(X). \quad (5.6)$$

We can now adopt the proof of Theorem 3.1 to get the following:

Theorem 5.4. *There is a $*$ -isomorphism $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi) \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ which is the identity on D_{Γ_φ} and takes the isometry $V_\varphi \in C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ to $V_\psi \in C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$.*

Proof. We will appeal to Theorem 3.1 above and combine it with Corollary 7.2 of [EV] for the existence of a $*$ -homomorphism $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi) \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ with the stated properties. We need therefore to check that

- 1) $V_\psi f = f \circ \varphi V_\psi$,
- 2) $c(V_\psi^* f V_\psi) = c \left(N(\cdot)^{-1} \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(z) \right)$, $c \in \widehat{D}$, and
- 3) $1 = \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\psi V_\psi^* (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

where $f \in D$. To check 1) note first that

$$\{(c_x, 1, c_y) : \varphi(x) = y\}$$

is dense in $\Gamma_\psi(1,0)$. This follows from the density of $\iota(X)$ in $\widehat{D}$, the openness of ψ and (5.6). Since both sides of 1) are elements in $C_c(\Gamma_\psi(1,0))$ it suffices therefore to check 1) on elements of the form $(c_x, 1, c_y)$ with $\varphi(x) = y$ where it is easy: $V_\psi f(c_x, 1, c_y) = V_\psi(c_x, 1, c_y) f(c_y) = f(\varphi(x)) = f \circ \varphi V_\psi(c_x, 1, c_y)$. The identity 2) is established in a similar way: Since both sides are continuous functions on $\widehat{D}$ it

suffices to check it on elements from $\iota(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_x (V_\psi^* f V_\psi) &= \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-1}(c_x)} V_\psi^* (c_x, -1, c') c'(f) V_\psi (c', 1, c_x) \\
&= \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-1}(c_x)} (\#\psi^{-1}(\psi(c')))^{-1} c'(f) \\
&= \sum_{y \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} N(x)^{-1} f(y) \quad (\text{by Corollary 5.2 and (5.6)}) \\
&= c_x \left(N(\cdot)^{-1} \sum_{z \in \varphi^{-1}(\cdot)} f(z) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

To check 3) note that $\sum_{i=1}^k (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\psi V_\psi^* (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in C_c(R(\psi))$. Since elements of the form (c_x, c_y) with $(x, y) \in R(\varphi)$ are dense in $R(\psi)$ it suffices to show that for $(x, y) \in R(\varphi)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\psi V_\psi^* (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} (c_x, c_y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } x \neq y \\ 1 & \text{when } x = y. \end{cases}$$

So let $(x, y) \in R(\varphi)$. Then $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ and we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^k (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} V_\psi V_\psi^* (b_i m)^{\frac{1}{2}} (c_x, c_y) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k b_i(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} m(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} m(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} m(y)^{-\frac{1}{2}} b_i(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} m(y)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (\text{using Corollary 5.2}) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } x \neq y \\ 1 & \text{when } x = y \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

since φ is injective on $\text{supp } b_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k b_i = 1$. This establishes the existence of a $*$ -homomorphism $\mu : C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi) \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ which is the identity on D_{Γ_φ} and takes V_φ to V_ψ . The injectivity of μ follows from the faithfulness of the conditional expectation $P_{\Gamma_\varphi} : C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi) \rightarrow D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ and the observation that $P_{\Gamma_\psi} \circ \mu = P_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. And, finally, the surjectivity of μ follows from the fact that $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ is generated by V_ψ and $D_{\Gamma_\psi} = D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$. $\square$

By Theorem 5.4 we can identify $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ with $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ and we will do that freely in the following.

Remark 5.5. The isomorphism of Theorem 5.4 is clearly equivariant with respect to the gauge actions and it induces therefore an isomorphism between the corresponding fixed point algebras, $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)^\mathbb{T}$ and $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)^\mathbb{T}$. Since ψ is a local homeomorphism we have the equality $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)^\mathbb{T} = C_r^*(R_\psi)$. Since there are subshifts σ for which $C_r^*(R_\sigma) \subsetneq C_r^*(\Gamma_\sigma)^\mathbb{T}$ it follows that in general the isomorphism in Theorem 5.4 does not take $C_r^*(R_\varphi)$ onto $C_r^*(R_\psi)$.

6. KMS STATES

Let $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a realvalued function from D . Such a function defines a continuous action $\alpha^F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut } C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ such that $\alpha_t^F(d) = d$ when $d \in D_{\Gamma_\varphi}$ and $\alpha_t^F(V_\varphi) = e^{iFt}V_\varphi$, cf. [E]. The action α^F can also be defined from the one-cocycle on Γ_φ defined by F as in the last line on page 2072 in [KR], but the definition above allows us to combine Theorem 3.1 with the work of Exel in [E] to establish the connection between the KMS states of α^F and the Borel probability measures on $\widehat{D}$ fixed by the dual of a Ruelle-type operator.

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. A state ω on $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ is a *KMS state with inverse temperature β* for α^F (or just a β -KMS state for short) when

$$\omega(xy) = \omega(y\alpha_{i\beta}^F(x)) \quad (6.1)$$

for all α^F -analytic elements x, y of $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$.

Let $\tau_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, be the gauge action on $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$ (so that $\tau_t = \alpha_{e^{it}}^F$ when F is constant 1) and let $P_{\Gamma_\psi} : C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi) \rightarrow D$ be the conditional expectation. Let $S(D)$ denote the set of states on D . When $\chi \in S(D)$ the composition $\chi \circ P_{\Gamma_\psi}$ is a state on $C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi)$. Note that $\chi \circ P_{\Gamma_\psi}$ is gauge-invariant since $P_{\Gamma_\psi} \circ \tau_\lambda = P_{\Gamma_\psi}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

Let $Q : C_r^*(\Gamma_\psi) \rightarrow C_r^*(R_{\Gamma_\psi})$ be the conditional expectation

$$Q(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \tau_\lambda(x) d\lambda.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Let ω be a β -KMS state for α^F . Then $\omega \circ Q$ is a gauge-invariant β -KMS state for α^F .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ be analytic for α^F . Since τ commutes with α^F we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \circ Q(xy) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(\tau_\lambda(xy)) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(\tau_\lambda(x)\tau_\lambda(y)) d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(\tau_\lambda(y)\alpha_{i\beta}^F(\tau_\lambda(x))) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \omega(\tau_\lambda(y)\tau_\lambda(\alpha_{i\beta}^F(x))) d\lambda = \omega \circ Q(y\alpha_{i\beta}^F(x)). \end{aligned}$$

□

For any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, define $L_{-\beta F} : D \rightarrow D$ such that

$$L_{-\beta F}(g)(x) = \sum_{y \in \varphi^{-1}(x)} e^{-\beta F(y)} g(y).$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The map $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ P_{\Gamma_\psi}$ is a bijection from the states $\chi \in S(D)$ which satisfy that*

$$\chi \circ L_{-\beta F} = \chi \quad (6.2)$$

onto the gauge-invariant β -KMS states for α^F .

Proof. Consider first the case $\beta > 0$. By Proposition 9.2 and Section 11 in [E] it suffices to show that any gauge-invariant β -KMS state ω of α^F factorizes through P_{Γ_ψ} , and this follows from Lemma 2.24 of [Th] in the following way. Since ω is gauge-invariant we have that $\omega = \omega \circ Q$. Let $\{d_j\}$ be a partition of unity in D . Since $\alpha_{i\beta}^F(\sqrt{d_j}) = \sqrt{d_j}$ it follows from the KMS condition (6.2) that $\sum_j \omega(\sqrt{d_j}x\sqrt{d_j}) =$

$\omega(x)$ for all $x \in C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$. In particular, $\omega(Q(x)) = \sum_j \omega(\sqrt{d_j}Q(x)\sqrt{d_j})$ and hence $\omega(P_{\Gamma_\psi}(Q(x))) = \omega(Q(x))$ by Lemma 2.24 of [Th] because $Q(x) \in C_r^*(R_\psi)$. Since $P_{\Gamma_\psi} \circ Q = P_{\Gamma_\psi}$ this shows that $\omega = \omega \circ P_{\Gamma_\psi}$ as desired.

The case $\beta < 0$ follows from the preceding case by observing that ω is a β -KMS state for α^F if and only if ω is a $(-\beta)$ -KMS state for α^{-F} . $\square$

It follows from [E] that every β -KMS state is gauge invariant when F is strictly positive or strictly negative. This is not the case in general, but note that if there is a β -KMS state for α^F then there is also one which is gauge invariant by Lemma 6.1.

We have deliberately omitted $\beta = 0$ as an admissible β -value for KMS-states because they correspond to trace states and they exist only in rather exceptional cases, e.g. when φ has a fixed point x_0 for which $\varphi^{-1}(x_0) = \{x_0\}$.

6.1. Bounds on the possible β -values. Define $I_{\beta F} : D \rightarrow D$ such that

$$I_{\beta F}(g)(x) = \frac{e^{\beta F(x)}}{m(x)} g \circ \varphi(x).$$

Then $L_{-\beta F} \circ I_{\beta F}(g) = g$ for all $g \in D$, so if $\chi \in S(D)$ satisfies (6.2) we find that

$$\chi = \chi \circ I_{\beta F}. \quad (6.3)$$

Thus $1 \in \text{Spectrum}(L_{-\beta F}^*) \cap \text{Spectrum}(I_{\beta F}^*)$ when there is a state $\chi \in S(D)$ for which (6.2) holds. Let $\rho(T)$ be the spectral radius of an operator T . Since

$$\text{Spectrum}(L_{-\beta F}^*) \cap \text{Spectrum}(I_{\beta F}^*) = \text{Spectrum}(L_{-\beta F}) \cap \text{Spectrum}(I_{\beta F}),$$

cf. [DS], we find that

$$1 \leq \rho(I_{\beta F}) \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$1 \leq \rho(L_{-\beta F}) \quad (6.5)$$

when (6.2) holds.

To get the most out of these inequalities we consider a non-invertible invariant h_m which has been introduced for general dynamical systems by M. Hurley in [Hu] and developed further in [FFN]. For a locally injective map like the map φ we consider here, the invariant $h_m(\varphi)$ is simply given by the formula

$$h_m(\varphi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\max_{x \in X} \# \varphi^{-n}(x) \right), \quad (6.6)$$

cf. [FFN], or, alternatively, as

$$h_m(\varphi) = \sup_{x \in X} \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \log \# \varphi^{-n}(x),$$

cf. Corollary 2.4 of [FFN]. For forward expansive maps, and hence in particular for one-sided subshifts, h_m equals the topological entropy h , but in general we only have the inequality $h_m(\varphi) \leq h(\varphi)$. It can easily happen that $h_m(\varphi) < h(\varphi)$ even when φ is a local homeomorphism.

The next lemma shows that for a locally injective surjection, as the map φ we consider, the invariant h_m agrees with that of its canonical local homeomorphic extension.

Lemma 6.3. $h_m(\psi) = h_m(\varphi)$.

Proof. It follows from (5.6) that $\#\psi^{-k}(\iota(x)) = \#\varphi^{-k}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Since $\#\psi^{-k}(c) = \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-k}(c)} 1$ depends continuously on $c \in \widehat{D}$ and $\iota(X)$ is dense in $\widehat{D}$, we conclude that $\max_{c \in \widehat{D}} \#\psi^{-k}(c) = \max_{x \in X} \#\varphi^{-k}(x)$. Hence $h_m(\psi) = h_m(\varphi)$, cf. (6.6). $\square$

In the following we let $M(X)$ denote the set of Borel probability measures on X and $M_\varphi(X)$ the subset of $M(X)$ consisting of the φ -invariant elements of $M(X)$. Similarly, we let $M(\widehat{D})$ be the set of Borel probability measures on $\widehat{D}$ and $M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ the set of ψ -invariant elements in $M(\widehat{D})$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that there is a state $\chi \in S(D)$ such that (6.2) holds. It follows that there are measures $\nu, \nu' \in M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ such that*

$$\beta \int_{\widehat{D}} F \, d\nu \leq h_m(\varphi) \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$\int_{\widehat{D}} \log \#\psi^{-1}(c) \, d\nu'(c) \leq \beta \int_{\widehat{D}} F \, d\nu'. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$. It follows from (6.5) that $\rho(L_{-\beta F}) \geq 1$ which implies that

$$-\delta \leq \frac{1}{k} \log \|L_{-\beta F}^k(1)\|_\infty = \frac{1}{k} \log \left(\sup_{c \in \widehat{D}} \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-k}(c)} e^{-\beta(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} F(\psi^j(c')))} \right)$$

for all large k . There is therefore, for each large k , a point $c_k \in \widehat{D}$ such that

$$-2\delta \leq \frac{1}{k} \log \left(e^{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} -\beta F(\psi^j(c_k))} \sup_{c \in \widehat{D}} \#\psi^{-k}(c) \right).$$

Let ν be a weak* condensation point of the sequence

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \delta_{\psi^j(c_k)}$$

in $M(\widehat{D})$. Then $\nu \in M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ by Theorem 6.9 of [W1] and

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} -\beta F(\psi^j(c_k)) \leq \int_{\widehat{D}} -\beta F \, d\nu + \delta$$

for infinitely many k . It follows that

$$-2\delta \leq \frac{1}{k} \log \sup_{c \in \widehat{D}} \#\psi^{-k}(c) + \int_{\widehat{D}} -\beta F \, d\nu + \delta$$

for infinitely many k , and we conclude therefore that $0 \leq h_m(\psi) + \int_{\widehat{D}} -\beta F \, d\nu$. Since $h_m(\psi) = h_m(\varphi)$ by Lemma 6.3 we get (6.7).

Similarly it follows from (6.4) that

$$1 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{c \in \widehat{D}} \left(\frac{e^{\beta \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} F(\psi^j(c))}}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} m(\psi^j(c))} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

which implies that

$$-\delta \leq \frac{1}{k} \log \left(\sup_{c \in \widehat{D}} e^{\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta F(\psi^j(c)) - \log m(\psi^j(c))} \right)$$

for all large k . We can then work as before with $-\beta F$ replaced by $\beta F - \log m$ to produce the measure $\nu' \in M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ such that $-2\delta \leq \int_{\widehat{D}} \beta F - \log m \, d\nu' + \delta$. We omit the repetition. Since ν' is ψ -invariant we have that $\int_{\widehat{D}} \log m \, d\nu' = \int_{\widehat{D}} \log \# \psi^{-1}(c) \, d\nu'(c)$. In this way we get (6.8). $\square$

When $H : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded realvalued function, set $A_H^\varphi(k) = \inf_{x \in X} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(\varphi^j(x))$. Then $A_H^\varphi(k+n) \geq A_H^\varphi(k) + A_H^\varphi(n)$ for all n, k and we can set

$$A_H^\varphi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_H^\varphi(k)}{k} = \sup_n \frac{A_H^\varphi(n)}{n}.$$

Similarly, we set $B_H^\varphi(k) = \sup_{x \in X} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H(\varphi^j(x))$ and

$$B_H^\varphi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_H^\varphi(k)}{k} = \inf_n \frac{B_H^\varphi(n)}{n}.$$

Proposition 6.5. *When $\beta > 0$ is the inverse temperature of a KMS state for α^F we have that $A_{\log m}^\varphi \leq \beta B_F^\varphi$ and $\beta A_F^\varphi \leq h_m(\varphi)$.*

When $\beta < 0$ is the inverse temperature of a KMS state for α^F we have that $A_{\log m}^\varphi \leq \beta A_F^\varphi$ and $\beta B_F^\varphi \leq h_m(\varphi)$.

Proof. Let ν and ν' be the measures from Theorem 6.4. When $\beta > 0$ we find that

$$h_m(\varphi) \geq \beta \int_{\widehat{D}} F \, d\nu = \beta \frac{1}{n} \int_{\widehat{D}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \psi^k \, d\nu \geq \beta \frac{A_F^\varphi(n)}{n}$$

and

$$\frac{A_{\log m}^\varphi(n)}{n} \leq \int_{\widehat{D}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \log m \circ \psi^k \, d\nu' \leq \beta \frac{1}{n} \int_{\widehat{D}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F \circ \psi^k \, d\nu' \leq \beta \frac{B_F^\varphi(n)}{n}$$

for all n . The two first inequalities of Theorem 6.5 follow from this. The case $\beta < 0$ is handled similarly. $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *Assume that $h_m(\varphi) = 0$. There are no KMS states for α^F unless $A_F^\varphi \leq 0 \leq B_F^\varphi$.*

Lemma 6.7. *Assume that there is a β -KMS state for α^F . It follows that there is a measure $\nu \in M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ such that*

$$\beta \int_{\widehat{D}} F \, d\mu \geq \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \log \inf_{c \in \widehat{D}} \# \psi^{-n}(c). \quad (6.9)$$

Proof. Let $\chi \in S(D)$ be a state such that $\chi \circ L_{-\beta F} = \chi$. Then

$$\chi \left(\sum_{c' \in \psi^{-k}(\cdot)} e^{-\beta \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} F \circ \psi^j(c')} \right) = 1 \quad (6.10)$$

for all k and hence

$$\inf_{c \in \widehat{D}} \# \psi^{-k}(c) \chi \left(\frac{1}{\# \psi^{-k}(\cdot)} \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-k}(\cdot)} e^{-\beta \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} F \circ \psi^j(c')} \right) \leq 1 \quad (6.11)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\log$ is concave we can apply Jensen's inequality to the state μ on D defined by

$$\mu(g) = \chi \left(\frac{1}{\# \psi^{-k}(\cdot)} \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-k}(\cdot)} g(c') \right).$$

Then (6.11) gives the estimate

$$\log \inf_{c \in \widehat{D}} \# \psi^{-k}(c) - \beta \mu \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} F \circ \psi^j \right) \leq 0 \quad (6.12)$$

for all k . We can therefore choose a condensation point $\nu \in M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ of the sequence μ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$\mu_k(g) = \mu \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g \circ \psi^j \right),$$

such that (6.9) holds. $\square$

Theorem 6.8. *Assume that F is continuous and that there is a β -KMS state for α^F . Set*

$$m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\min_{x \in X} \# \varphi^{-n}(x) \right)$$

and

$$M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\max_{x \in X} \# \varphi^{-n}(x) \right).$$

There is then a φ -invariant Borel probability measure $\mu \in M_\varphi(X)$ such that

$$\beta \int_X F \, d\mu \in [m, M].$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.5 and Lemma 6.7 there are measures $\nu, \nu' \in M_\psi(\widehat{D})$ such that $\beta \int_{\widehat{D}} F \, d\nu \leq M$ and $m \leq \beta \int_{\widehat{D}} F \, d\nu'$. Since F is continuous on X by assumption we have that $F(c) = F(\pi(c))$ for all $c \in \widehat{D}$. It follows that with an appropriate convex combination

$$\mu = s\nu \circ \pi^{-1} + (1-s)\nu' \circ \pi^{-1}$$

we have that $m \leq \beta \int_X F \, d\mu \leq M$. $\square$

6.2. Existence of KMS states. While Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 6.8 give upper and lower bounds on the possible β -values of a KMS state for α^F they say nothing about existence. This is where the work of Matsumoto, Watatani and Yoshida, [MWY], and Pinzari, Watatani and Yonetani, [PWY], comes in.

Theorem 6.9. *(cf. [PWY] and [MWY]) Let B be a unital commutative C^* -algebra and $L : B \rightarrow B$ a positive linear operator with spectral radius $\rho(L)$. Then $\rho(L)$ is in the spectrum of L and there is a state $\omega \in S(B)$ such that $\omega \circ L = \rho(L)\omega$.*

Proof. We adopt arguments from [PWY] to show that $\rho(L)$ is in the spectrum of L and then arguments from [MWY] to produce the state ω .

Recall that $\text{Spectrum}(L) = \text{Spectrum}(L^*)$, cf. [DS]. By definition of $\rho(L)$ there is an element $z \in \text{Spectrum}(L^*)$ with $|z| = \rho(L)$. Let $\{z_n\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $|z_n| > \rho(L)$ for all n and $\lim_n z_n = z$. It follows then from the principle of uniform boundedness that there is an element $\mu \in B^*$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R(z_n)\mu\| = \infty$$

where $R(z) = (z - L^*)^{-1}$ is the resolvent. Since B^* is spanned by the states we may assume that $\mu \in S(B)$. Since $|z_n| > \rho(L^*)$ the resolvent $R(z_n)$ is given by the norm convergent Neumann series

$$R(z_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_n^{-k-1} L^{*k}.$$

Since μ is a state and L a positive operator it follows that

$$|R(z_n)\mu| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |z_n|^{-k-1} L^{*k}\mu = R(|z_n|)\mu$$

in B^* where $|R(z_n)\mu|$ is the total variation measure of $R(z_n)\mu$. Hence

$$\|R(z_n)\mu\| \leq \|R(|z_n|)\mu\|,$$

and we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R(|z_n|)\mu\| = \infty$, which implies that $\rho(L) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |z_n|$ is in $\text{Spectrum}(L^*) = \text{Spectrum}(L)$.

Set

$$\mu_n = \frac{R(|z_n|)\mu}{\|R(|z_n|)\mu\|}.$$

A glance at the Neumann series shows that μ_n is a state since L is positive. As

$$(\rho(L) - L^*)\mu_n = (\rho(L) - |z_n|)\mu_n + \|R(|z_n|)\mu\|^{-1}\mu$$

converges to 0 in norm, any weak* condensation point ω of $\{\mu_n\}$ will be a state such that $\omega \circ L = \rho(L)\omega$. □

Corollary 6.10. *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy that the spectral radius $\rho(L_{-\beta F})$ of $L_{-\beta F}$ is 1. It follows that there is a gauge invariant β -KMS state for α^F .*

Proof. Combine Theorem 6.9 with Theorem 6.2. □

Lemma 6.11. *Let $A \subseteq \widehat{D}$ be a closed subset such that $\psi^{-1}(A) \subseteq A$. Assume that*

$$A_{F|A}^\psi > 0.$$

It follows that there are states $\omega, \nu, \nu' \in S(D)$ and a $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ such that

- 1) $\nu \circ \psi = \nu, \nu' \circ \psi = \nu'$,
- 2) $\omega(A) = \nu(A) = \nu'(A) = 1$,
- 3) $\beta \nu(F) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(\max_{c \in A} \#\psi^{-k}(c)) \leq \beta \nu'(F)$, and
- 4) $\omega \circ L_{-\beta F} = \omega$.

Proof. Set $\delta = A_{F|A}^\psi = \lim_n \left(\inf_{c \in A} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c)) \right)$. Since $\psi^{-1}(A) \subseteq A$ we can for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define a positive linear operator $L_{-tF}^A : C(A) \rightarrow C(A)$ such that

$$L_{-tF}^A(g)(c) = \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-1}(c)} e^{-tF(c')} g(c').$$

Then

$$L_{-tF}^A \circ r_A = r_A \circ L_{-tF} \quad (6.13)$$

where $r_A : D \rightarrow C(A)$ is the restriction map. To estimate the spectral radius of L_{-tF}^A we observe that when $t \geq 0$ we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{c \in A} (L_{-tF}^A)^n(1)(c) &= \sup_{c \in A} \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-n}(c)} e^{-t \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c'))} \\ &\leq \sup_{c \in A} \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-n}(c)} e^{-n \frac{t\delta}{2}} \leq e^{-n \frac{t\delta}{2}} \sup_{c \in A} \# \psi^{-n}(c) \end{aligned}$$

for infinitely many n . It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(L_{-tF}^A) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{c \in A} (L_{-tF}^A)^n(1)(c) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$

On the other hand

$$\rho(L_0^A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{c \in A} \# \psi^{-n}(c) \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1.$$

Since

$$|\rho(L_{-tF}^A) - \rho(L_{-t'F}^A)| \leq |t - t'| \|F\|_\infty$$

for all $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$, cf. Proposition 2.2 of [ABL], it follows that $[0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \rho(L_{-tF}^A)$ is continuous. Hence the intermediate value theorem of calculus implies the existence of a $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ such that $\rho(L_{-\beta F}^A) = 1$. Then Theorem 6.9 implies the existence of a state $\omega' \in S(C(A))$ such that $\omega' \circ L_{-\beta F}^A = \omega'$. Set $\omega = \omega' \circ r_A$ and note that (6.13) implies that $\omega \circ L_{-\beta F} = \omega$. Since $\omega(f) = 0$ for all $f \in D$ with support in $X \setminus A$ it follows that $\omega(A) = 1$.

To construct the ψ -invariant states ν and ν' let $\epsilon > 0$ and note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\sup_{c \in A} \sum_{c' \in \psi^{-n}(c)} e^{-\beta \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c')) \right)} \right) = 0. \quad (6.14)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $c_n, c'_n \in \psi^{-n}(A)$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c_n)) = \inf_{c' \in \psi^{-n}(A)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c')) \leq \sup_{c' \in \psi^{-n}(A)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c')) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c'_n)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & -\beta \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c'_n)) + \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{c \in A} \# \psi^{-n}(c) \leq 0 \\ & \leq -\beta \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\psi^k(c_n)) + \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{c \in A} \# \psi^{-n}(c) \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

for all n . Let ν and ν' be states of D such that the corresponding measures on $\widehat{D}$ are weak* condensation points of the sequences $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\psi^k(c_n)}$ and $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\psi^k(c'_n)}$, $= 1, 2, 3, \dots$, respectively. Then 1) holds by Theorem 6.9 of [W1] and $\nu(A) = \nu'(A) = 1$ since A is closed and $\psi^k(c_n), \psi^k(c'_n) \in A$ for all k, n . The estimates 3) follow from (6.15). $\square$

Theorem 6.12. *Assume that $h_m(\varphi) > 0$.*

- 1) *If $A_F^\varphi > 0$ there is a β -KMS state for α^F such that $\beta A_F^\varphi \leq h_m(\varphi) \leq \beta B_F^\varphi$.*
- 2) *If $B_F^\varphi < 0$ there is a β -KMS state for α^F such that $\beta B_F^\varphi \leq h_m(\varphi) \leq \beta A_F^\varphi$.*
- 3) *When F is continuous there is in both cases, 1) or 2), a φ -invariant Borel probability measure $\mu \in M_\varphi(X)$ such that*

$$\beta \int_X F d\mu = h_m(\varphi). \quad (6.16)$$

Proof. 1) follows directly from Lemma 6.11 applied with $A = \widehat{D}$ and 2) follows by applying 1) to $-F$.

3): Assume now that F is continuous. Since we either have that $\beta A_F^\varphi \leq h_m(\varphi) \leq \beta B_F^\varphi$ or $\beta B_F^\varphi \leq h_m(\varphi) \leq \beta A_F^\varphi$ there is a sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ in $\mathbb{N}$ and points $x_i, y_i \in X$ such that

$$h_m(\varphi) - \frac{1}{i} \leq \frac{1}{n_i} \beta \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} F \circ \varphi^j(x_i)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n_i} \beta \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} F \circ \varphi^j(y_i) \leq h_m(\varphi) + \frac{1}{i}$$

for all i . For each i we can then find a number $s_i \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$h_m(\varphi) - \frac{1}{i} \leq \frac{1}{n_i} \beta \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \int_X F \circ \varphi^j d\nu_i \leq h_m(\varphi) + \frac{1}{i}, \quad (6.17)$$

where $\nu_i = s_i \delta_{x_i} + (1 - s_i) \delta_{y_i}$. Any weak* condensation point of the sequence

$$\frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=0}^{n_i-1} \nu_i \circ \varphi^{-j}$$

will be φ -invariant by Theorem 6.9 of [W1] and $\beta \int_X F d\mu = h_m(\varphi)$ thanks to (6.17). $\square$

Corollary 6.13. *Assume that F is continuous and either strictly positive or strictly negative. There is no KMS-state for α^F if $h_m(\varphi) = 0$. If $h_m(\varphi) > 0$ there is a β -KMS-state for α^F such that*

$$\beta = \frac{h_m(\varphi)}{\int_X F d\mu}$$

for some $\mu \in M_\varphi(X)$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Corollary 5.2 and the second from Theorem 6.12. $\square$

Example 6.14. Assume that $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$ is uniformly n -to-1, i.e. that $\#\varphi^{-1}(x) = n$ for all $x \in X$. Note that $n \geq 2$ since we assume that φ is not injective. Then $h_m(\varphi) = \log n$ and it follows from Theorem 6.12 and Theorem 6.8 that there is exactly one β such that the gauge action on $C_r^*(\Gamma_\varphi)$ has a β -KMS state, namely $\beta = \log n$. In many cases $\log n$ is also the topological entropy $h(\varphi)$. This is for example the case when φ is an affine map on $\mathbb{T}^k$. To see that in general $\log n$ is smaller than the topological entropy let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an arbitrary homeomorphism of a compact metric space Y . Then $\varphi \times f : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ is also locally injective and n -to-1. In particular $h_m(\varphi \times f) = \log n$ while the topological entropy is $h(\varphi) + h(f)$ which can be any number $\geq \log n$.

REFERENCES

- [A] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, *Purely infinite C^* -algebras arising from dynamical systems*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **125** (1997), 199–225.
- [ABL] A.B. Antonevich, V. I. Bakhtin and A.V. Lebedev, *T-entropy and variational principle for the spectral radius of transfer and weighted shift operators*, arXiv:0809.3116v2.
- [BKR] S. Boyd, N. Keswari and I. Raeburn, *Faithful Representations of Crossed Products by Endomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 427–436.
- [BR] O. Brattli and D.W. Robinson, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics II*, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1981.
- [D] V. Deaconu, *Groupoids associated with endomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 1779–1786.
- [DS] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I : General Theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1966.
- [E] R. Exel, *Crossed products by finite index endomorphisms and KMS states*, J. Func. Anal. **199** (2003), 153–158.
- [EV] R. Exel and A. Vershik, *C^* -algebras of Irreversible Dynamical Systems*, Canad. J. Math. **58** (2006), 39–63.
- [FFN] D. Fiebig, U. Fiebig and Z. Nitecki, *Entropy and preimage sets*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **23** (2003), 1785–1806.
- [Hu] M. Hurley, *On topological entropy of maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **15** (1995), 557–568.
- [Kr1] W. Krieger, *On sofic systems I*, Israel J. Math. **48** (1984), 305–330.
- [Kr2] ———, *On sofic systems II*, Israel J. Math. **60** (1987), 167–176.
- [KR] A. Kumjian and J. Renault, *KMS-states on C^* -algebras associated to expansive maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 2067–2078.
- [MWY] K. Matsumoto, Y. Watatani and M. Yoshida, *KMS states for gauge actions on C^* -algebras associated with subshifts*, Math. Z. **228** (1998), 489–509.
- [PWY] C. Pinzari, Y. Watatani and K. Yonetani, *KMS states, entropy and the variational principle in full C^* -dynamical systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. **213** (2000), 331–379.
- [Re1] J. Renault, *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras*, LNM 793, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [Re2] ———, *AF-equivalence relations and their co-cycles*, Operator Algebras and Mathematical Physics, Conference Proceedings, Constanza 2001, The Theta Foundation (2003), 365–377.
- [Ru] D. Ruelle, *Thermodynamic Formalism*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 5, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Ma. 1978.
- [Th] K. Thomsen, *Semi-étale groupoids and applications*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier, to appear.
- [W1] P. Walters, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1982.
- [W2] P. Walters, *Convergence of the Ruelle operator for a function satisfying Bowen’s condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2000), 327–347.

E-mail address: `matkt@imf.au.dk`

INSTITUT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, NY MUNKEGADE, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK